CCPPETMR WG notes (09/01/2019)

Participants: Kris Thielemans, Brian Hutton, Richard Brown, Edoardo Pasca, Evgueni Ovtchinnikov, Andrew Reader, David Atkinson, Martin Turner, Steve Bloomer, Christoph Kolbitsch, Julian Matthews, Catriona Wimberley, Johannes Mayer, Harry Tsoumpas, Ross Maxwell

Reflections/suggestions on WG/Steering panel

- KT: Should we open the steering panel to everyone (as opposed to by invite, which is currently the case)?
- HT: Should ensure steering panel members actually contribute.
- JM: Should get more senior members with bigger picture for perspective of future directions.
- KT: For the next CCP, could re-evaluate contributing members halfway through project.
- DA: Maybe less contributions because people feel like they won't have an influence (e.g., drop Windows, OSX)?
- KT & JM: Fair comment, but decision to continue Windows and OSX was based on user questionnaires.
- BH: Steering panel will be clearer than WG.
- **Action point** BH: Need to clearly define the role/expectations of the panel. EPSRC participation?
- DA: How do other CCPs deal with steering panels?
- MT: On CCPi, max 35. Contributors are normally invited. Similar problem of senior non-contributors.
- SB: If steering panel is subset of community, how do you get an idea of desired functionality, etc., of the whole community?
- KT: Small amount of user surveys (e.g., operating system).
- SB: Is there a forum for suggesting new functionality?
- KT: Yes, mailing lists. Although developers mailing list is more active than users list.
- DA: Any chance of more administrative help for next CCP?
- KT: Yes, 5% UCL-based administrator

CCPi update

- Roadmap document: More ML and request for more software training
- New supported systems: synchrotron, EPAC laser (construction finished in 5 years).

CCP PETMR future

- HT: Rebranding CCPPETMR->SynerBI?
- Action point KT: Forward old website to new, keep logo, mailing lists might be tricky?

6th final hackathon?

- KT: synergistic algorithms, quantitative PET, any other ideas?
- HT: Topic of hackathon that would enable flagship progression?
- EP: Join with CCPi.

Special issue - symposium

- Action point KT: Should put information on website.
- KT: Are the publications online as soon as each article is ready?
- HT: No, all made public at the same time for publicity. But review process will be very quick.

Phantoms

- JM: Data will be shared (distributed on XNAT server), but centres generating data need to be protected
- KT: Needs to have contrast in both PET and MR.
- HT: If any centres own interesting phantoms, please let others know!
- HT: Can we convert GE MR data to ISMRMRD?
- JM: ge_to_ismrmrd conversion possible for a limited selection of MR sequences.
- Action point HT volunteers MR and PET expertise for phantom acquisitions. JM can contribute a phantom and offers scanner at Manchester. HT willing to travel to Manchester. RM interested in acquisitions in Newcastle.

SynerBI dependencies

- RB, will extra dependencies be a problem in the future?
- KT: Yes, there will be even more, e.g., ITK & SuPReMo

AOB

N/A